

DOUBLE ENERGY RADIOGRAPHY METHOD, AND CALIBRATION  
DEVICE FOR THIS METHOD

The present invention relates to a double energy radiography method, together with an appropriate calibration device for this method.

Double energy radiography consists of exposing an  
 5 subject or a patient to radiation with two different energies, for which the attenuation properties of the materials constituting the subject or the patient do not vary in the same way. Using a model of their attenuation functions, one thus obtains information  
 10 about the density and nature of the material crossed through. In particular, in the case of bone densitometry, the bone mass crossed can be calculated by distinguishing it from the contribution of soft tissues to attenuation of the radiation.

15 The theoretical basis of the method will be resumed briefly below.

The flux  $\phi$ , after crossing a length  $l$  of a material with linear attenuation coefficient  $\mu$  from an initial radiation flux  $\phi_0$ , is equal to  $\phi = \phi_0 e^{-\mu l}$ . The  
 20 attenuation measurement is named  $m$ , equal to  $\ln\left(\frac{I_0}{I}\right)$  where  $I_0$  and  $I$  are signals measured by a same detector under the fluxes  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi$ . In the case of a complex subject composed of a large number of materials (of index  $i$ ), each one contributes to attenuation according  
 25 to its length  $L_i$  crossed by the rays.

However, each material can be expressed, for its attenuation property, as a linear combination of two base materials, according to the formula:

$$\mu = k_1\mu_1 + k_2\mu_2,$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constant coefficients, and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  represent the attenuation of these base materials, and the equivalent lengths  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  of the base materials crossed by the radiation by:

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = \sum_i L_i k_1 \\ A_2 = \sum_i L_i k_2 \end{cases}$$

By means of these equivalent lengths, the base materials can represent a subject even if in reality its composition is much more complex. Even if the materials composing the subject are different from the base materials, the breakdown has a meaning. In the case of examining living beings, the classic base materials are Plexiglas (polymethacrylate) to simulate soft tissues and hydroxyapatite to simulate bone tissues.

The system of equations linking the measurements to the attenuation  $\mu_1$  and to the equivalent lengths  $A_1$  of the base materials is linear and therefore simple to solve if the radiation is monochromatic. But this is not so for real situations, and the equivalent lengths are then given by more complicated mathematical models, such as:

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = a_0 + a_1.mBE + a_2.mHE + a_3.mBE.mHE + a_4.m_{BE}^2 + a_5.m_{HE}^2 \\ A_2 = b_0 + b_1.mBE + b_2.mHE + b_3.mBE.mHE + b_4.m_{BE}^2 + b_5.m_{HE}^2 \end{cases}$$

which generally provide sufficient precision, and where mBE and mHE represent the attenuation measurements at

high and low energy, and "a" and "b" are coefficients which must be calculated beforehand by calibration.

This calibration requires a device which is often called a "phantom" and which is composed of base materials as described above and chosen in order to simulate the subject to be measured as closely as possible. These materials are distributed in the phantom in such a way as to provide regions where the lengths of materials, crossed by the radiation, are distributed differently.

A classic phantom is built up in the form of a staircase for each of the measuring materials, and the stairs are superposed in such a way that their steps are perpendicular. By making vertical rays cross the steps, one thus obtains all the required combinations between the diverse thicknesses of the two materials.

Another phantom is proposed in the US patent 5 493 601 and comprises a series of tubes converging towards the source of the beam and provided with heights divided unequally between the two materials. The aim is to provide more exact measurements than previously, in particular because of the convergence of the tubes towards the source, which makes the length the rays pass through in the tubes coincide perfectly with the total height of the latter, and also reduces the scattered radiation by containment in the tubes. The measurements are thus a direct expression of the attenuation of the radiation through the phantom and make it possible to calculate the coefficients required reliably.

However, the same cannot be said for the measurements produced through the subject to undergo radiography, for which the favourable conditions described above cannot be assembled and the scattered radiation must not be neglected; it is even especially important for sources with a conical beam, often more so than for the primary radiation, which has followed a straight line trajectory from the source to the detector and is the only one useful for the measurement. The estimation and then correction of this scattered radiation requires specific processing. Thus, amongst other methods, it is possible to measure it separately and then to subtract it from the total radiation received by the detector. In order to do this, one uses a network of absorbent elements, such as lead balls arranged in such a way as to form a grid. The balls are glued on Plexiglas and set in a homogeneous pattern, regular, for example, for the lines and columns so as to allow interpolation between the balls, between the radiographed subject and the detector's network of pixels. The rays intended to pass through these balls are intercepted totally, so that only the scattered radiation reaches the pixels of the detector located in the path of these rays. Digital interpolations then make it possible to estimate, with sufficient precision, the distribution of the scattered radiation over the whole of the detector's pixels. This convenient method, however, has the disadvantage of having to submit the subject to a second irradiation, which may be difficult for living beings to accept.

On the other hand, one can also prevent the scattered radiation from reaching the detectors by providing them with a strict collimation for interception. The methods using this technique  
5 necessitate the use of source scanning which implies a long acquisition time and the risk that the subject may move during measurement.

Digital methods of various types have also been proposed to correct the influence of scattered  
10 radiation, but generally they are only suitable for particular subjects. It has to be concluded that the patent quoted above does not appear to propose any notable progress, since the scattered radiation is only separated from the measurements at the calibration  
15 stage.

The aim of the present invention is to correct the influence of the scattered radiation in another way, by allowing it to appear during calibration, but in an analogous manner to its behaviour during radiography of  
20 the subject, which makes it possible to correct it by an identical digital method, without fear of discordance of quality for this method.

To resume, the invention concerns above all a calibration device for a double energy radiography  
25 system, comprising a range of blocks with different thicknesses of a first material, characterised in that the blocks are provided with recesses and in that furthermore the device comprises inserts to fill up the recesses and including different distributions of  
30 heights, the heights and the thicknesses being considered in an identical direction, between the first

material and a second material; together with a radiography method with a double energy conical beam, comprising a thickness estimation of materials of a radiographic subject by a digital combination of  
5 measurements of attenuation of energies, involving a coefficient calibration of the combination, characterised in that the calibration is measured by a radiography of a calibration device conforming to any one of the preceding claims, and that scattered  
10 radiation affecting the radiography of the calibration device is estimated while providing an estimation criterion used afterwards to estimate a scattered radiation affecting the radiography of the subject.

The invention will now be described with reference  
15 to the figures:

- figure 1 shows a flow chart of the invention;  
and
- figures 2 and 3 show views from the side and from above of a calibration phantom used to satisfy the  
20 requirements described above.

Reference is now made to figure 1.

At stage E1, a calibration acquisition is carried out by a radiography through the phantom described below, which gives rough measurements at high and low  
25 energy. At stage E2, preliminary processing for estimating and subtracting the scattered radiation from these measurements is made in order to calculate the corrected measurements  $m_{He}$  and  $m_{Be}$  of the above formulae. Next, stage E3 consists of an estimation of  
30 the parameters of the model, particularly the polynomial coefficients  $a$  and  $b$ , from measurements and

the known thicknesses the radiation crosses in the phantom. Furthermore, an acquisition, through the measured subject, is carried out at stage E4, then a preliminary processing is made at stage E5; it is  
5 similar to that of stage E2 and its aim is also to estimate and then subtract the scattered radiation which affects the measurements. The final stage E6 consists of using the corrected measurements made through the subject and applying the model, and above  
10 all the coefficients  $a$  and  $b$  calculated at stage E3, in order to deduce the equivalent lengths of the base materials  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  in the subject.

An example of a phantom is shown in figures 2 and 3.

15 It comprises several blocks of different thicknesses of one of the base materials, in particular Plexiglas. An assembly of separate blocks could be used, through which the measurements would be made successively, but one can also unite the blocks to form  
20 a solid with a stepped profile 1 comprising different layers, four in this case, and numbered from 2 to 5 from bottom to top, the layers usually finishing by dressed tapered faces 6, so as to reduce the high frequency components of the scattered radiation.  
25 Furthermore, rows of inserts are provided under the different blocks, filling the recesses made here through the lower layer 2. More precisely, one finds four rows of two inserts 7 for each, numbered 8 to 11, which extend respectively under the upper surface of  
30 the lower layer 2, under the second layer 3, under the layers 3 and 4 and under the three upper layers 3 to 5.

Generally, the inserts 7 comprise a portion in hydroxyapatite 12 and a portion in Plexiglas 13. The portions 12 and 13 have the same total height, but different respective heights in each row 8 to 11, such that the rays originating from the source 14 and which pass through each of the inserts 7, cross different combinations of thicknesses of the two materials. Furthermore, scattered radiation is produced analogous to that produced in a living being. This similitude is due to the constitution of the phantom 1 itself since the materials composing it, apart from simulating closely the soft tissues and the bones, have analogous proportions and distributions. In particular, the inserts 7 are sufficiently separated so that they do not receive scattered radiation coming from neighbouring inserts, but only from the base material of layers 2 to 5.

Finally, this description will detail a method for estimation of scattered radiation, applicable for use in stages E2 and E5; however this method is part of another invention and is therefore only given in order to complete the description of this one and to prove the interest of the phantom 1.

At the stage E2, radiation similar to that to be used on the subject and with flux  $\phi_0$  is directed at the phantom 1, and a total radiation  $\phi_t$ , the sum of a primary radiation  $\phi$  and the scattered radiation  $\phi_d$ , is collected by the detectors placed behind the phantom 1, thus measuring the attenuation through it of the initial radiation  $\phi_0$ . In particular, one obtains



measurements of radiation after passing across each of the inserts 7, both for high and low energy.

The same measurements are repeated after setting absorbers in place, such as lead balls, between the source and the phantom in such a way as to intercept the primary radiation and only to measure the scattered radiation by the detectors behind the lead balls. By interpolation one then deduces the value of the diffused radiation  $\varnothing_d$  in function of the heights of the two base materials crossed for each of the energies.

The acquisition of the measurements through the subject also provides two ranges of values for total radiation  $\varnothing_t$  at high and low energy. The radiation  $\varnothing_d$  through the subject can be estimated according to the measurements behind the lead balls through the phantom 1 and the relation between  $\varnothing_t$ ,  $\varnothing_d$  and  $\varnothing$  obtained through it for the two energies, assuming that the same relation applies through the subject.

Although the invention is useful for conical beams, its use is not limited to these; one can conceive the stages of estimation and correction of scattered radiation being omitted in situations where it would be of less importance, since it would affect the measurements through the phantom 1 and the subject similarly, because of their similarity of structure, and its influence would disappear for the calculation of equivalent lengths  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ .